

Application No. 10/667,375  
Supplemental Reply to Office Action dated February 1, 2008

Docket No.: 3449-0273P

**REMARKS**

The following remarks supplements the remarks in the Amendment filed on May 1, 2008.

**REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 102**

Claims 1, 4, 5, 8, 9 and 13-15 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Kim et al. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for the courtesies extended to Applicants' representative during the telephone interviews which were conducted on April 30, 2008. During the interview, the Applicants' representative presented that TV receiver, which includes a tuner and a demodulator, is needed to be able to tune to a broadcasting signal, and the "other network outside the TV receiver" in Kim et al. thus does not include a broadcasting network. Examiner stated that, given the broadest interpretation, the network outside the TV receiver includes the broadcasting network. The Examiner also stated that the modem in Kim et al. works like a tuner to connect to a broadcasting network.

It is respectfully submitted that "other network" in Kim et al. should not be interpreted to include any type of network. Because Kim et al. does not show examples of "other network" or explain about "other network", the term "other network" is a vague term with no specific meaning or weight. Therefore, this vague term cannot be interpreted to include the broadcasting network. Further, it is respectfully submitted that the modem in Kim et al. should not be broadly interpreted to be equivalent to a TV tuner. A modem is device that modulates a carrier signal to

Application No. 10/667,375  
Supplemental Reply to Office Action dated February 1, 2008

Docket No.: 3449-0273P

encode digital information to be transmitted by the modem, and also demodulates such a carrier signal to decode the transmitted information received by the modem. The TV tuner converts a broadcast signal into audio and video signals only for demodulation, but not for modulation, and does not transmit any information. Therefore, the modem and the TV tuner are different and cannot be equated to each other. Further, this "other network outside the TV receiver" does not include the broadcasting network because the modem is not a TV tuner that can receive the broadcast signal, and this "other network" is outside the TV receiver having a TV tuner.

Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted independent claims 1, 5, 9 and 15 and each of the claims depending therefrom are allowable.

Application No. 10/667,375  
Supplemental Reply to Office Action dated February 1, 2008

Docket No.: 3449-0273P

**CONCLUSION**


In view of the above remarks, it is believed that the claims clearly distinguish over the patents relied on by the Examiner, either alone or in combination.

If the Examiner believes, for any reason, that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone Jun S. Ha (Reg. #. 58,508) at (703) 205-8000 in the Washington, D.C. area.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37.C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: June 5, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

By   
Esther H. Chong  
Registration No.: 40, 953  
BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP  
8110 Gatchouse Road  
Suite 100 East  
P.O. Box 747  
Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747  
(703) 205-8000  
Attorney for Applicant